# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

## FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.:</u> 5834-01 <u>Bill No.:</u> HB 1780

Subject: Emergencies; Counties; Boards, Commissions, Committees, Councils

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 16, 2012

Bill Summary: This proposal changes provisions relating to statewide 911 service.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 5834-01 Bill No. HB 1780 Page 2 of 5 March 16, 2012

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	

L.R. No. 5834-01 Bill No. HB 1780 Page 3 of 5 March 16, 2012

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol, Department of Revenue, Office of Administration, Department of Economic Development - Public Service Commission, Office of the State Treasurer, Joint Committee on Administrative Rules, and Office of Administration - Budget and Planning each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** assume many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The Secretary of State's office is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this fiscal note to Secretary of State's office for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The Secretary of State's office recognizes that this is a small amount and does not expect that additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, we also recognize that many such bills may be passed by the General Assembly in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what our office can sustain with our core budget. Therefore, we reserve the right to request funding for the cost of supporting administrative rules requirements should the need arise based on a review of the finally approved bills signed by the governor.

Officials from **St. Louis County** and the **Springfield Police Department** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **Jefferson City Police Department** state as written, this legislation could have a huge fiscal impact on local law enforcement agencies that currently house a PSAP (Public Safety Answering Point). The bill is written only for County Agencies and falsely assumes that no local central dispatch centers are owned and operated by a City. The impact could be in the millions of dollars if this currently efficient joint dispatch system is forced to move all equipment and employees under the guidance of the respective county. It does say that a county may adopt a 9-1-1 Board but does not say what will happen should the affected City/County choose not to do so.

Numerous counties, police departments and sheriff's departments did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

L.R. No. 5834-01 Bill No. HB 1780 Page 4 of 5 March 16, 2012

## <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

**Oversight** will reflect a \$0 or (Unknown) cost to local political subdivisions. Oversight assumes there could be some costs to counties that do not currently have an Emergency Services 911 Board and must create one. Also, based upon the Jefferson City Police Department's response, Oversight assumes this may create a fiscal impact to city police departments that operate a 911 center.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government  LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
Costs - creating an Emergency Services 911 Board	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or (Unknown)
<u>Costs</u> - potential costs to city police departments regarding location of 911 call center	\$0 or (Unknown)	\$0 or ( <u>Unknown)</u>	\$0 or (Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u>	\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u>	\$0 or <u>(Unknown)</u>

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

L.R. No. 5834-01 Bill No. HB 1780 Page 5 of 5 March 16, 2012

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation changes provisions relating to statewide 911 service. The proposal establishes in each county that does not already have one, an "Emergency Services 911 Board" and establishes how the board will be composed.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Public Safety
Public Service Commission
Office of the State Treasurer
Department of Revenue
Office of Administration
Office of the Secretary of State
Office of Administration - Budget and Planning
Joint Committee on Administrative Rules

## **Not Responding:**

Numerous Counties Numerous Police Departments and Sheriff's Departments

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 16, 2012